

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,842	081,842 10/19/2001		Kenji Imanishi	011287	7067
23850	7590 1	1/05/2003		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW				BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W	
SUITE 1000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2815	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AL

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/981,842

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

B. William Baumeister

2815

lmanishi



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) B. William Baumeister (PTO) (3) Steven Adrian (Applicant's Representative)
(2) Michael Caridi (Applicant's Representative) (4)
Date of Interview
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes e) \boxtimes No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all
Identification of prior art discussed: all
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Examiner agrees that the "Response to Arguments" section of paper #13 included a typographical error: The second
sentence of paragraph 7a should read, "this argument is NOT persuasive"
Discussed whether incorporating a limitationstating that the lowest energy level channel layer is in direct contact with
the electron-supply layer (or spacer)would make the claim(s) allowable. The Examiner is of the opinion that such a
limitation would require further consideration and search.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
PRADI EV RALIMEISTEF

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

PRIMARY EXAMINE